Case: 14-10073 Date Filed: 03/04/2014 Page: 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

ELBERT PARR TUTTLE COURT OF APPEALS BUILDING 56 Forsyth Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303

John Ley Clerk of Court For rules and forms visit www.ca11.uscourts.gov

March 04, 2014

Debra P. Hackett U.S. District Court PO BOX 711 MONTGOMERY, AL 36101-0711

Appeal Number: 14-10073-D

Case Style: Timothy McCallan, et al v. Daniel Hamm, et al

District Court Docket No: 2:13-cv-00837-MEF Secondary Case Number: 2:11-bk-03007-WRS

The enclosed copy of this Court's Order of Dismissal is issued as the mandate of this court. <u>See</u> 11th Cir. R. 41-4. Counsel and pro se parties are advised that pursuant to 11th Cir. R. 27-2, "a motion to reconsider, vacate, or modify an order must be filed within 21 days of the entry of such order. No additional time shall be allowed for mailing."

All pending motions are now rendered moot in light of the attached order.

Sincerely,

JOHN LEY, Clerk of Court

Reply to: Deborah H. Hall, D/jsc

Phone #: (404) 335-6189

Enclosure(s)

DIS-4 Multi-purpose dismissal letter

Case: 14-10073 Date Filed: 03/04/2014 Page: 1 of 2

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT


No. 14-10073-D

In Re: Allegro Law, LLC,

Debtor.

TIMOTHY MCCALLAN, AMERICORP, INC., SETON, CORP.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

versus

DANIEL H. HAMM, as Trustee for Debtors Allegro Law, LLC and Allegro Financial Services, LLC, DANIEL G. HAMM,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama

Before: TJOFLAT, HULL and MARTIN, Circuit Judges.

BY THE COURT:

Appellants appeal the district court's order dismissing their appeal of the bankruptcy court's denial of their motion to recuse, which is not a final or immediately appealable order. See 28 U.S.C. § 158(d)(1); Michigan State Univ. v. Asbestos Settlement Trust (In re Celotex Corp.), 700 F.3d 1262, 1265 (11th Cir. 2012); Wyatt ex rel. Rawlins v. Rogers, 92 F.3d 1074,

Case: 14-10073 Date Filed: 03/04/2014 Page: 2 of 2

1080 (11th Cir. 1996). Accordingly, this appeal is DISMISSED, sua sponte, for lack of jurisdiction.

No motion for reconsideration may be filed unless it complies with the timing and other requirements of 11th Cir.R. 27-2 and all other applicable rules.